

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,480	07/21/2003	Jean-Christophe Simon	032487-005	4520	
	7590 07/30/2007 NE, SWECKER & MATH	EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 1404			YU, GINA C		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	•	1617	,	
				·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/622,480	SIMON, JEAN-CHRISTOPHE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gina C. Yu	1617		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Gina C. Yu	1617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1,116 and 41.33(a)).		•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) working the low or appended	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-9 and 15-48</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .								
ACCIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the date of filling of	Notice of Appeal will I	not be entered					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not carlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and or appeal and								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below of attached.								
11. 🖂 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because.								
see continuation sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
STEM PADMANABHAN								
	SUPERVISORY P	STENT EXAMINER						

Application/Control Number: 10/622,480

Art Unit: 1617

Continuation of No. 11: Applicants assert that there is no motivation to combine the goniochoromatic agent of Simon and the metal coated glass particles of Ramin other than to formulate two separate formulations. Ramin already teaches mixing the metal-coated glass particle with at least one additional dyestuff, which indicates that the concurrent use of multiple types of pigments is an old and well known practice in cosmetic art.

Applicants' arguments against applying the Suzuki reference are also unpersuasive. The fact that Suzuki is oil-based cosmetics with good dispersing ability of powder does not negate the motivation to add additional ingredient to improve the glossy property of the composition. The present invention encompasses oil-based cosmetics as well, thus the reference is a pertinent prior art. The fact that the metal-coated glass particles are not listed in the specification but used in a specific formulation is an even stronger evidence of motivation that a skilled artisan would have adopted the teaching of the reference.

Applicants' assertion that the present invention has an objective of providing a volumizing effect. It is respectively pointed out that the claimed invention is a composition and a product and not a method of using such. Also, the alleged volumizing effect of the combined composition is viewed as a property that would naturally flow from the composition that is combined as motivated by the references.

The obviousness double patenting rejection, the rejection statement inadvertently omitted the recitation of the Suzuki reference. As the body of the rejection and the

Application/Control Number: 10/622,480

Art Unit: 1617

conclusion indicated, the rejection is made over the 10/432,329 application in view of the Suzuki reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:00AM until 5:30 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ğina C. Yu

Patent Examiner